

EXHIBIT 3

CHART OF SELECTED COMPARABLE CASE OUTCOMES

Case	Claims Released/ Nature of Suit	Class Size & Notice Method	Recovery/Relief	Submission of Claims Forms & Average Class Payment	Fees, Costs, and Service Awards	Exposure if Plaintiffs Had Prevailed on Every Claim
<i>Brown, et al. v. Google LLC</i> , 4:20-cv-03664 (N.D. Cal) <i>*final approval pending</i>	<p>Claims: Federal Wiretap Act; CIPA §§ 631 and 632; California’s Comprehensive Computer Data Access and Fraud Act; Invasion of privacy under California Constitution; California common law intrusion upon seclusion; Breach of contract; UCL.</p> <p>Nature of Suit: Alleging Google, LLC (“Google”) improperly collected browsing data from users in private browsing mode</p>	<p>Size: 136M Notice: CAFA</p>	<p>Common Fund: N/A</p> <p>Injunctive Relief: Updated disclosures, deletion of browsing data, and cease collection of third-party cookies when a user is in private browsing mode.</p> <p>Does not release claims for individual class members damages.</p>	N/A	<p>Fees: \$217.6M</p> <p>Expenses: \$7.6M</p> <p>Service Award: \$30,000 (for each of the 5 plaintiffs) Admin Cost: N/A</p>	Unknown

Case	Claims Released/ Nature of Suit	Class Size & Notice Method	Recovery/Relief	Submission of Claims Forms & Average Class Payment	Fees, Costs, and Service Awards	Exposure if Plaintiffs Had Prevailed on Every Claim
<i>In re Advocate Aurora Health Pixel Litig.</i> , No. 22-CV-1253 (E.D. Wis.)	Claims: Common law intrusion upon seclusion; common law publication of private facts; Unjust enrichment; Breach of implied contract; Breach of confidence; Electronic Communications Privacy Act; Wisconsin's Confidentiality of Patient Health Care Records Act; Wisconsin Deceptive Trade Practices Act; Illinois Stat. § 410 ILCS 50; Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act; Illinois Uniform	Size: 2.5M Notice: CAFA, mail, email, website	Common Fund: \$12.225M	Claims rate: 22.6% (565,000 class member Payment: \$11.64	Fees: \$2.82M (30% of Net Settlement Fund) Expenses: \$23,356.02 Service Award: \$3,500 (for each of the 10 plaintiffs) Admin Cost: \$2.75M	Unknown

Case	Claims Released/ Nature of Suit	Class Size & Notice Method	Recovery/Relief	Submission of Claims Forms & Average Class Payment	Fees, Costs, and Service Awards	Exposure if Plaintiffs Had Prevailed on Every Claim
	<p>Deceptive Trade Practices Act.</p> <p>Nature of Suit: Alleging Advocate Aurora Health, Inc. disclosed its users' protected health information to third parties such as Meta/Facebook and Google through tracking pixels.</p>					
<i>In re Novant Health, Inc.</i> , No. 22-CV-697 (M.D. N.C.)	<p>Claims: Common law invasion of privacy; North Carolina's Unfair & Deceptive Trade Practices Act; Unjust enrichment; Breach of</p>	<p>Size: 1.36M Notice: CAFA, email, postcard, website, toll-free help line and dedicated email address for questions.</p>	<p>Common Fund: \$6.66M</p>	<p>Claims Rate: 11.7% Payment: \$24.67</p>	<p>Fees: \$2.22M Expenses: \$18,134.70 Service Awards: \$2,500 (for each of the 10 plaintiffs)</p>	<p>Unknown</p>

Case	Claims Released/ Nature of Suit	Class Size & Notice Method	Recovery/Relief	Submission of Claims Forms & Average Class Payment	Fees, Costs, and Service Awards	Exposure if Plaintiffs Had Prevailed on Every Claim
	<p>implied, Electronic Communications Privacy Act; Computer Fraud and Abuse Act; Breach of confidence.</p> <p>Nature of Suit: Alleging Novant Health, Inc. used tracking pixel to disclose certain personal or health related information to a third-party vendor.</p>				Admin Cost: \$602,000 (anticipated)	
<i>Vela v. AMC Networks, Inc.</i> , No. 1:23-cv-02524-ALC (S.D.N.Y)	<p>Claims: violation of the Video Privacy Protection Act (“VPPA”), 18 U.S.C. § 2710</p> <p>Nature of Suit: Alleging AMC Networks, Inc.,</p>	<p>Size: 7.36M</p> <p>Notice: CAFA, Email, settlement website, toll-free help line</p>	<p>Common Fund: \$8.3M</p> <p>In Kind Relief: provision of one-week digital subscription to AMC+ streaming service (estimated value of \$2.25 per</p>	<p>Claims Rate: 7.6%</p> <p>Avg. Class Payment: \$9</p>	<p>Fees: \$2,766,666</p> <p>Expenses: \$25,127.80</p> <p>Service Award: \$2,000 (for each of the 9 plaintiffs)</p>	Unknown

Case	Claims Released/ Nature of Suit	Class Size & Notice Method	Recovery/Relief	Submission of Claims Forms & Average Class Payment	Fees, Costs, and Service Awards	Exposure if Plaintiffs Had Prevailed on Every Claim
	disclosed users video views and personally identifiable information through tracking technology (including those from Meta and Google) installed on its websites and apps.		settlement class member) Injunctive Relief: suspend, remove, or modify operation of the Meta Pixel and other tracking technologies to prevent the disclosure of users' information to third-party technology companies without consent.			
<i>Fiorentino v. Flosports, Inc.</i> , No. 1:22-cv-11502-AK (D. Mass.)	Claims: VPPA Nature of Suit: Alleging FloSports disclosed its subscribers personally identifiable information and the title and URL of the video that user viewed to Meta through the	Size: 784,760 Notice: CAFA, Email, website, digital notice advertising	Common Fund: \$2.625M Injunctive Relief: FloSports to suspend operation of the Facebook Tracking Pixel on any pages of its website that include video content and have a URL that identifies the video content viewed	Claims Rate: 3.3% Avg. Class Payment: \$85.00	Fees & Expenses: \$875,000 (aggregated together) Service Award: \$2,000 (for one plaintiff)	Unknown

Case	Claims Released/ Nature of Suit	Class Size & Notice Method	Recovery/Relief	Submission of Claims Forms & Average Class Payment	Fees, Costs, and Service Awards	Exposure if Plaintiffs Had Prevailed on Every Claim
	Facebook Pixel installed on its website.					
<i>Kurowski et al v. Rush System for Health</i> , 1:22-CV-05380 (N.D. Ill.) <i>* final approval pending</i>	<p>Claims: Electronic Communications Privacy Act (“ECPA”), 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b); Illinois Eavesdropping Statute, 720 ILCS § 5/14-1</p> <p>Nature of the Suit: Rush System for Health disclosed its patients personally identifiable health information to third parties through third party tracking technologies, including Facebook Pixel, it</p>	<p>Size: 500,000</p> <p>Notice: CAFA</p>	<p>Common Fund: N/A</p> <p>Injunctive Relief: remove any tracking technologies from its website and patient portal that caused HIPAA-protected personal information to be transmitted to third parties, including Facebook, Google, Amazon, TikTok, and others.</p>	N/A	<p>Fees Requested: \$2.8M</p> <p>Expenses Requested: \$20,000</p> <p>Service Award Requested: \$15,000 (for each of the 2 plaintiffs)</p>	Unknown

Case	Claims Released/ Nature of Suit	Class Size & Notice Method	Recovery/Relief	Submission of Claims Forms & Average Class Payment	Fees, Costs, and Service Awards	Exposure if Plaintiffs Had Prevailed on Every Claim
	installed on its website and patient portal.					
<i>In re Facebook, Inc. Consumer Privacy User Profile Litig.</i> , 18-md-2843 (N.D. Cal.) * appeal pending	Claims: contract-related claims; Video Privacy Protection Act, Stored Communications Act, negligence, deceit-by-concealment, privacy torts, and other deprioritized claims Nature of the Suit: unauthorized use and disclosure of Facebook user data	Size: ~253M Notice: in-app notice, banner and social media advertising, paid Google campaign, published notice (reaching 93% of target audience)	Common Fund: \$725M Injunctive Relief: confirmation that data-sharing practices have ceased and/or that defendant was complying with 2020 FTC consent decree, as well as confirmatory discovery	Claims Rate: approx. 5.2% Estimated Avg. Payment: approx. \$40 per person	Fees: \$181.25M Expenses: \$4.1M Service Award: \$15,000 (for each of 8 plaintiffs) Admin. Costs: Estimated between \$3.5M and \$4.2M	Unknown
<i>Facebook, Inc. Internet Tracking Litig.</i> , 5:12-md-2314 (N.D. Cal.)	Claims: Stored Communications Act; breach of contract; implied covenant of good faith and fair	Size: 124M Notice: email notice, social media, paid search (reaching	Common Fund: \$90M Injunctive Relief: sequester and delete data	Claims Rate: approx. 2% Avg. Payment: \$39.21	Fees: \$26.1M Expenses: \$393k Service Award: \$5,000 (for each	Conservative estimate of \$900M

Case	Claims Released/ Nature of Suit	Class Size & Notice Method	Recovery/Relief	Submission of Claims Forms & Average Class Payment	Fees, Costs, and Service Awards	Exposure if Plaintiffs Had Prevailed on Every Claim
* appeal pending	dealing (claims remaining post-appeal) Nature of the Suit: unauthorized tracking through cookies on non-Facebook web properties	99% of Class Members)			of the 4 federal court plaintiffs) and \$3,000 (for each of the 3 state court plaintiffs) Admin. Costs: \$1.6M (incurred at date of final approval) w/ \$697.7k in future expenses anticipated	
<i>TikTok, Inc. Consumer Privacy Litig.</i> , 1:20-cv-04699 (N.D. Ill.)	Claims: Illinois Biometric Information Privacy Act; Computer Fraud and Abuse Act; California Comprehensive Data Access and Fraud Act, California Constitutional Right to Privacy, California Unfair Competition and	Size: 81M Notice: email notice, media campaign, social media, paid search, direct message in-app	Common Fund: \$92M Injunctive Relief: Cease collection of biometric information and other data absent consent, among other data collection restrictions	Claims Rate: 1.4% for nationwide class; 13% for Illinois Subclass (over 1.2M claims total) Est. Payment: \$27.19 for nationwide class; \$163.13 for Illinois Subclass	Fees: \$29.3M Expenses: \$789.9K Service Award: \$2,500 (for each of the 35 plaintiffs) Est. Admin. Costs: \$3.3M	Unknown

Case	Claims Released/ Nature of Suit	Class Size & Notice Method	Recovery/Relief	Submission of Claims Forms & Average Class Payment	Fees, Costs, and Service Awards	Exposure if Plaintiffs Had Prevailed on Every Claim
	False advertising laws, Video Privacy Protection Act, Intrusion Upon Seclusion, Unjust Enrichment /Restitution Nature of the Suit: Unauthorized collection of biometric data without consent					
<i>Opperman et al v. Kong Technologies, Inc.</i> , 3:13-cv-00453 (N.D. Cal.)	Claims: Intrusion upon seclusion, Conversion, California's False and Misleading Advertising Law, California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Deceit, UCL. Nature of Suit: Apple's unauthorized	Size: 1.9M Notice: website, toll-free number, email	Common Fund: \$5.3M	Claims Rate: 4.3% Avg. Payment: \$10.7-\$39	Fee: \$1.59M Expenses: \$150K Service Award: \$5,000 or \$7,500 (\$7,500 for 4 plaintiffs and \$5,000 for 9 plaintiffs)	Unknown

Case	Claims Released/ Nature of Suit	Class Size & Notice Method	Recovery/Relief	Submission of Claims Forms & Average Class Payment	Fees, Costs, and Service Awards	Exposure if Plaintiffs Had Prevailed on Every Claim
	collection of contact information on iDevices.					
<i>In re Vizio, Inc., Consumer Priv. Litig.</i> , No. 8:16-ml- 02693 (C.D. Cal.)	Claims: Video Privacy Protection Act, Wiretap Act, California's Invasion of Privacy Act, California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act, UCL, Florida's Deceptive And Unfair Trade Practices Act, GBL § 349, Massachusetts' Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Statute, Massachusetts' Statutory Right to Privacy, Washington's Consumer Protection Act,	Size: 16M Notice: notice displayed on Vizio Smart TVs, email, advertisement, press release.	Common Fund: \$17M Injunctive Relief: deletion of class members' data collected and implementation of prominent disclosures	Claims Rate: 4.1% Est. Payment: \$16.50 per claimed Smart TV	Fee: \$5.61M Expenses: \$181,808.59 Admin Cost: \$122,830.65	Approximately \$77M

Case	Claims Released/ Nature of Suit	Class Size & Notice Method	Recovery/Relief	Submission of Claims Forms & Average Class Payment	Fees, Costs, and Service Awards	Exposure if Plaintiffs Had Prevailed on Every Claim
	Unjust Enrichment, Intrusion upon seclusion, Fraud. Nature of Suit: Vizio's unauthorized collection of users' viewing history and preference data.					